PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

See item 4 below

Priority date (day/month/year)

29 December 2003 (29.12.2003)

FOR FURTHER ACTION

International filing date (day/month/year)

22 December 2004 (22.12.2004)

International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY						
1.	. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. I(a).					
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).					

Date of issuance of this report 03 July 2006 (03.07.2006) Authorized officer

e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Applicant's or agent's file reference

59407WO008

International application No.

PCT/US2004/043474

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 1'6 JUN 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY POT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/vear) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 29.12.2003 PCT/JS2004/043474 22.12.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07D471/14, C07D471/22, A61K31/551, A61P31/12, A61P35/00 Applicant 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priorltv Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Rudolf, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8604



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/043474

Box N	lo. I	Basis of the opinion				
With r	egar ngua	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
la	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
With i	regar ssary	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. typ	e of	material:				
	as	sequence listing				
	tal	ble(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. for	mat o	of material:				
	in	written format				
	in	computer readable form				
c. tim	ne of	filing/furnishing:				
	co	ontained in the international application as filed.				
	l fik	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	l fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
	has t copie	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theref seen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional se is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as				

4. Additional comments:

1.

2.

3.

	_						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The obv	questions whether the claimed in ous), or to be industrially applica	nvent ble h	ion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ave not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,						
Ø	claims Nos. 25-27 with respect to industrial applicability						
bec	ause:						
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 25-27 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	see separate sheet						
	the description, claims or drawings (Indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	i the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
	See separate sheet for further details						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Yes: Claims 1-27 Novelty (N)

No: Claims

1-27 Yes: Claims Inventive step (IS) No: Claims

Yes: Claims 1-24 No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

PCT/US2004/043474

Re Item III.

Claims 25-27 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 96/21663 A (MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY)
 18 July 1996 (1996-07-18)
- D2: WO 02/46194 A (3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY; LINDSTROM, KYLE J) 13 June 2002 (2002-06-13)
- D3: US 6 541 485 B1 (CROOKS STEPHEN L ET AL) 1 April 2003 (2003-04-01)
- D4: WO 95/02597 A (MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY) 26 January 1995 (1995-01-26)
- D5: WO 99/18105 A (ORTHO-MCNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, INC) 15 April 1999 (1999-04-15)

The present invention relates to imidazopyridines and imidazoquinolines which are further fused with a diaza-heterocycle, and the use thereof as antiviral agents and for treatment of cancer.

The prior art describes a number of structurally related imidazopyridines and imidazoquinolines for the treatment of viral infections and cancer (D1-D4). None of the prior art documents discloses or suggests diaza-heterocycle-fused imidazopyridines or imidazoquinolines. The claimed subject matter is therefore considered novel and non-obvious over the cited prior art.

For the assessment of the present claims 25-27 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/043474

patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.